# **Originals**

D. Jatuff<sup>1</sup>
R. Montenegro<sup>1</sup>
J.E. Mezzich<sup>2</sup>
J. González-Mora<sup>3</sup>
M.I. Zapata-Vega<sup>2</sup>

# A validation study of the Personal Health Scale in Argentina and Venezuela

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Superior de Formación Postgrado Asociación de Psiquiatras Argentinos Buenos Aires-Argentina

<sup>2</sup>Elmhurst Hospital Center Mount Sinai School of Medicine New York – USA <sup>3</sup>Instituto Venezolano de los Seguros Sociales Unidad Nacional de Psiquiatría Infantil Caracas – Venezuela

Introduction. International reports have shown that the majority of patients with a psychiatric disorder are most often seen in non-psychiatric services and are not effectively diagnosed. The objectives of this study, conducted in Argentina and Venezuela, was to validate the Personal Health Scale (PHS), a 10-item instrument developed for the detection of possible cases of mental disorders.

Method. A total of 227 subjects were recruited in both countries. The PHS's ease of use and the time required for completion was rated. Its internal consistency (calculating the Cronbach's alpha) and factorial structures was analyzed. Mean total scores of psychiatric patients (n=127) and control subjects without psychiatric illness (n=100) were compared to determine its discriminant validity.

Results. Mean time for completion was less than 3 minutes and the majority of subjects judged it as easy to use. The Cronbach's alpha was 0.77 in both countries and the factorial analysis (extraction limited to one factor) showed that 8 (Venezuela) or 9 (Argentina) of the 10 items were represented in that factor; the variance explained by that factor was of 34%. A significant statistical difference (p<0.05) was found for the mean total scores between the psychiatric patients and controls. The best cut-off score to discriminate between groups was 6/7.

Conclusions. The results suggest that the PHS is efficient, easy to use, has a high internal consistency, adequate factorial structure and ability to discriminate between samples of psychiatric patients and controls. We propose its use in primary care settings, clinical and epidemiological studies in Latin American countries.

Key words:

Validation. Personal Health Scale, Mental health.

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2010;38(4):189-195

Correspondence:
Diego Jatuff
Instituto Superior de Formación Postgrado
Asociación de Psiquiatras Argentinos
1427 Buenos Aires
Telf:: +54 01 4555 11 95
E-mail: diegojatuff@ibertel.com.ar

# Estudio de validación de la Escala de Salud Personal en Argentina y Venezuela

Introducción. Estudios internacionales demuestran que la mayoría de pacientes con algún trastorno mental son frecuentemente vistos en servicios no-psiquiátricos y no son adecuadamente diagnosticados. El objetivo de este estudio realizado en Argentina y Venezuela es validar la Escala de Salud Personal (ESP), un instrumento de 10 ítems diseñado para detectar probables casos de trastorno mental.

Método. Se reclutó un total de 227 sujetos en ambos países. Se evaluó la facilidad de uso de la ESP y cuantificó el tiempo utilizado. Se evaluó su consistencia interna (calculando el alfa de Cronbach) y su estructura factorial. Puntajes medios de pacientes psiquiátricos (n=127) e individuos sin patología psiquiátrica (controles, n=100) fueron comparados para determinar la validez discriminativa.

Resultados. El tiempo medio para completar la ESP fue menos de 3 minutos y la mayoría de sujetos lo calificó como fácil de usar. El alfa de Cronbach fue 0,77 en ambos países y el análisis factorial (extracción limitada a un factor) mostró que 8 (Venezuela) o 9 (Argentina) de los 10 ítems se representan en ese factor con una varianza explicada de 34%. Se encontró diferencia estadísticamente significativa (p< 0,05) para los puntajes medios entre pacientes psiquiátricos y controles, siendo 6/7 el mejor punto de corte para discriminar entre grupos.

Conclusiones. Los resultados sugieren que la ESP es eficiente, fácil de usar, tiene alta consistencia interna, adecuada estructura factorial y capacidad de discriminar entre muestras de pacientes psiquiátricos y controles. Se propone su uso en atención primaria, investigación clínica y epidemiológica en países latinoamericanos.

Palabras clave:

Validación. Escala de Salud Personal, salud mental.

### INTRODUCTION

According to reports of the World Health Organization, mental disorders account for approximately 12% of the overall disease burden and it is estimated that it will represent 15% of the disability-adjusted life year (DALY) in the year 2020¹. Studies in recent decades have shown that one out of every 4 patients attending health care services have at least one mental, neurological or behavioral problem, but most of these disorders are not diagnosed or treated².

Most of the individuals affected by mental disorder are seen for the first time in general health services. It has frequently been found that the nonpsychiatric physicians do not correctly recognized the mental problems, particulary when they are associated to somatic symptoms<sup>3-6</sup>. In addition, it has been recognized that there is a complex and reciprocal relationship between physical and mental disorders; mental disorders can lead to a deficient somatic health condition and, like wise, chronic somatic conditions have an elevated likelihood of developing mental disorder such as depression<sup>1</sup>.

For this reason, the development of instruments to detect mental health cases continues to be an objective of interest in primary care. This study presents the results of the validation of a new instrument developed for the detection of possible cases of mental conditions, the Personal Health Scale (PHS) in two Latin American cities, Buenos Aires-Argentina and Caracas-Venezuela.

The structure of the PHS, validation methodology and data on its ease of application and time required, internal structure and discriminant validity are presented in this report.

# The Personal Health Scale (PHS)

The PHS is a brief instrument composed of 10 items that include: 6 questions on somatic and psychological complaints, 3 on adaptive functioning and one selfevaluation on the recognition of the presence of emotional problems and need for professional help7. The first six items (somatic and psychological symptoms) were obtained from the correlational analyses of a database generated with the use of the Self-Reporting Questionnaire (SRQ) in Nicaragua<sup>8-9</sup>, the items that correlated highly with the presence of mental disease were selected. The three items on adaptive functioning evaluate key areas of occupational, family and social functioning in general, derived from an observational study on functioning as a discriminant factor between individuals with and without mental disease<sup>10</sup>. The final item, self-evaluation, is consistent with theories that give importance to the individual's self-perception on the presence of problems and need for care<sup>11, 12</sup>. The items are scored according to their frequency of presentation during

the last month: 0= Never, 1= Sometimes and 2= Always. The total score can range from 0 to 20.

The Spanish version of PHS was first validated in Leon-Nicaragua<sup>7</sup> and then in Lima-Peru<sup>13</sup>. Four language versions, including English, Spanish, Chinese and Korean, were studied in New York, USA<sup>14-17</sup>. Additionally, a version in Portuguese was studied in Brazil<sup>18</sup>.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

# **Subjects**

Patients were enrolled in two Latin American cities: Buena Aires-Argentina, between January and December 2004, and Caracas-Venezuela, between February 2004 and February 2005. These subjects were enrolled after obtaining approval of the local institutions to carry out the study, and the international ethical guidelines for research in human subjects were followed during the conduction of the study.

In both cities, a search was made for similar samples of psychiatric patients who met diagnostic criteria for axis I disorders of the DSM IV multiaxial diagnoses and individuals without known psychiatric condition (controls) composed of students and/or health care professionals. The general inclusion criteria were the following: subjects between 18 and 60 years of age, both genders, and who were capable of signing the informed consent developed for participation in this study. Those individuals not capable of providing consent due to extreme mental condition, cognitive deficiencies or being illiterate were excluded from the study.

In Argentina, the sample of psychiatric patients was collected in the outpatient services of the Institute of Asistencia Psicopatológica Integral (API), in collaboration with the professional staff and students of the University of Belgrano, and it included outpatient psychiatric subjects, recruited by the principal author in his private practice and in the outpatient clinic of the API (n=50, Mean age = 42.40 years, Standard Deviation (SD)=14.22; 64% women). The control sample included a subgroup of advanceds medical students of the University of Belgrano and mental health care professionals working in the outpatient clinic of the API (n=50, Mean age = 33.66 years, SD = 9.94; 72% women).

In Venezuela, the sample of psychiatric patients included adults referred for court-ordered evaluation to the Social Service of the Child and Adolescent Protection Courts, the National Unit of Child Psychiatry "Dra. Alecia Bello Peña" (UNPI) of the Instituto Venezolano of Social Security and in the Centro Integral para la Estimulación Cognitiva y Comunicativa (CIPECC), and the private practice of the principal investigator (n = 77; Mean age = 40.69

Table 1 Ease of use for the PHS, perceived by the subject and by the interviewer								
	ARGENTINA			VENEZUELA				
	Perceived by	y the subject	Perceived by the interviewer		Perceived by the subject		Perceived by the interviewer	
Grade of ease of use	Patients (n=50)	Controls (n=50)	Patients (n=50)	Controls (n=50)	Patients (n=50)	Controls (n=50)	Patients (n=50)	Controls (n=50)
Very easy	70%	80%	80%	78%	99%	96%	100%	100%
Somewhat easy	18%	20%	12%	22%	1%	4%	0%	0%
Somewhat difficult	12%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Very difficult	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

years, SD = 11.12; 77% women). The control sample included mental health and social work professionals working in the outpatient services of the previously mentioned sites (n=50; Mean age = 35.69 years, SD = 7.88; 78% women).

RE:

# **Instruments**

Three instruments were used: a questionnaire to gather demographic data, the PHS and a format to document the time required and to evaluate the ease/difficulty to respond to the PHS. The PHS is a self-report instrument, therefore the interviewers only acted as observer during its administration and answered the questions that the study subjects could have on the contents and scoring of the items.

# Data analyses

The following parameters were analyzed and evaluated statistically:

- Time required and applicability in patients and controls.
- Internal structure: a) Internal consistency: it was analyzed using the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient for the 10 items of the instrument in both countries. b) Factorial analyses: the factorial structure was analyzed using principal component analysis as extraction method, limiting it to a single factor,
- Discriminant validity: it was analyzed comparing the means between the scores of the patients and controls.
   A search was made for the best cut-off a scores to determine the probable presence of mental disorder using the area under the ROC curve and calculating the Number-Needed-to-Diagnose (NND = 1/[Sensitivity-(1-Specificity)]<sup>19</sup>.

All the statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical program. Statistical significance was considered as p<0.05.

### **RESULTS**

## Time required and ease of use

In Argentina, the average time to complete the PHS was 3.42 minutes (SD=0.98) for the patient sample, 1.87 minutes (SD=1.41) for the control sample and 2.65 minutes (SD=1.44) for the total sample. In Venezuela, the patients needed an average of 2.2 minutes (SD=0.50), the professionals 1.7 minutes (SD=0.62); 2 minutes (SD=0.61) being the average time required for the total sample.

Table 1 shows the results of the ease of use variable, perceived separately by the subjects and interviewers (as observers of the use of the instrument). In both countries, most of the subjects (88–100%) and the interviewers (92–100%), considered the PHS as "somewhat easy" or "very easy" to use.

# **Internal Structure**

- a. Internal Consistency: It was found that the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the 10 items of the PHS was 0.77 (the maximum value being 1) both for the Argentina sample (N=100) as for the Venezuela one (N=127).
- o. The factorial analysis (analysis of principal components, limiting the extraction to one factor) of the 10 items for the samples of both countries, the results of the factorial structure and percentage of variance explained by the factor are shown in table 2. It was noted that 8 or more of the 10 items in our sample had loadings of over 0.4 in the factor.

# **Discriminant validity**

The results of the discriminant validity study of the PHS are shown in table 3. A statistically significant difference was found between the subsamples of psychiatric patients

and controls, for the measurement of total scores (p=0.001) in both countries. In regards to the individual items, a statistically significant difference was also found for most of them (p<0.05), except for items 1, 3, 4 and 7 in Argentina and items 4, 6 and 9 in Venezuela.

Table 2 Factorial Structure of the PHS of the samples in Argentina (N=100) and Venezuela (N=127), principal component analysis with extraction limited to 1 factor.

	ARGENTINA <sup>A</sup>	<b>VENEZUELA</b> <sup>B</sup>
ITEMS	Factor 1	Factor 1
1. Have you had difficulty falling asleep?	0.602	0.382
2. Have you felt frightened or alarmed?	0.339	0.522
3. Have you felt nervous or tense?	0.640	0.608
4. Have you felt sad?	0.654	0.633
5. Have you had trouble enjoying daily activities?	0.780	0.682
6. Have you felt tired?	0.505	0.308
7. Have you been missing or not doing well your work?	0.547	0.520
8. Have you had difficulty relating to your family?	0.482	0.735
9. Have you had difficulty relating to friends and neighbors?	0.415	0.582
10. Have you felt you bad emotional problems and needed professional help?	0.700	0.688
Percentage of the variance explained by the factor	33.730 %	33.710 %

		ARGENTINA VENEZU		ZUELA	
Items		Patients (n=50)	Controls (n=50)	Patients (n=77)	Controls (n=50)
Have you had difficulty falling asleep?		0.76*	0.62*	1.06	0.52
2. Have you felt frightened or alarmed?		0.56	0.30	0.56	0.28
3. Have you felt nervous or tense?		1.04*	0.94*	0.95	0.66
4. Have you felt sad?		0.92*	0.69*	0.71*	0.60*
5. Have you had trouble enjoying daily activities?		0.82	0.52	0.47	0.18
6. Have you felt tired?		1.14	0.86	0.99*	1.04*
7. Have you been missing or not doing well your work?		0.46*	0.28*	0.27	0.10
8. Have you had difficulty relating to your family?		0.64	0.34	0.52	0.14
9. Have you had difficulty relating to friends and neighbors?		0.66	0.26	0.25*	0.14*
10. Have you felt you bad emotional problems and needed professional help?		0.94	0.60	0.62	0.30
Mean total scores		7.84	5.40	6.44	4.02

Table 4 Best cut-off scores for the total PHS score. Sensitivity, 1-Specificity, Number-Needed-to-Diagnose (NDD) ARGENTINA (n=100) TOTAL (N=227) VENEZUELA (n=127) Cutt off NND **Cutt off** NND **Cutt off** NND Sens. 1 - Spec. Sens. 1 - Spec. Sens. 1 - Spec. 0.50 0.940 0.980 -25.00 0.50 1.000 0.960 25.00 0.50 0.976 0.970 166.67 1.50 0.940 0.960 -50.00 1.50 0.974 0.880 10.64 1.50 0.961 0.920 24.39 2.50 0.880 0.800 12.50 2.50 0.857 0.780 12.99 2.50 0.866 0.790 13.16 3 50 0.820 0.700 8.33 3.50 0.766 0.580 5.38 3.50 0.787 0.640 6.80 0.800 0.560 0.360 0.709 0.460 4.02 4.50 4.17 4.50 0.649 3.46 4.50 0.240 5.50 0.340 5.50 0.700 0.440 3.85 5.50 0.506 3.76 0.583 4.12 0.620 0.320 0.080 6.50 0.480 0.200 6.50 3.33 6.50 0.390 3.23 3.57 0.260 0.040 7.50 0.150 7.50 0.560 3.33 7.50 0.325 3.51 0.417 3.75 0.040 0.090 8.50 0.420 0.140 3.57 8.50 0.273 4.29 8.50 0.331 4.15 9.50 0.320 0.100 4.55 9.50 0.234 0.020 4.67 9.50 0.268 0.060 4.81 10.50 0.260 0.040 4.55 10.50 0.143 0.020 8.13 10.50 0.189 0.030 6.29 0.020 0.020 11.50 0.180 0.020 6.25 11.50 0.104 11.91 11.50 0.134 8.77 0.020 12.50 0.000 15.39 12.50 0.010 12.50 0.140 8.33 0.065 0.094 11.91 13.50 0.120 0.020 10.00 14.00 0.039 0.000 25.64 13.50 0.071 0.010 16.39 14.50 0.060 0.020 25.00 16.00 0.026 0.000 38.46 14.50 0.047 0.010 27.03 15.50 0.040 0.020 50.00 18.00 0.013 0.000 76.92 15.50 0.010 47.62 0.031 17.00 0.020 0.000 50.00 20.00 0.000 0.000 16.50 0.024 0.000 41.67 19.00 0.000 0.000 17.50 0.016 0.000 62.50 18.50 0.008 0.000 125.00 20.00 0.000 0.000

The area under the ROC curve was calculated for the samples in each country and for the total sample, finding 0.683 in Argentina, 0.691 in Venezuela and 0.671 for the sum of the subjects in both countries. Selection of the best cut-off scores based on the lowest NND is shown in table 4, where it is observed that the best cut-off score to discriminate between possible cases of mental disorders and healthy individuals would be 6/7.

### DISCUSSION

The PHS was developed considering 3 important aspects of mental health: frequent symptoms, adaptive functioning and the opinion of the individual on the presence of problems and the need for professional help<sup>7</sup>, in order to obtain a brief screening instrument to be used in multiple languages and in different medical care services.

Its successful use in Nicaragua<sup>7</sup> and Peru<sup>13</sup>, as well as in samples of different ethnic groups in New York<sup>14-17</sup> and

the Portuguese version in Brazil<sup>18</sup> has been documented in previous studies. This study presents the psychometric data of the PHS in samples of two Latin American country.

The ease of use of the PHS was documented in Argentina and Venezuela. It was found that most of the subjects interviewed (88-100%) considered the instrument as "somewhat" or "very easy" to use. In addition, it was found that the average time required for completion was less than 3 minutes. Other studies have also documented its briefness, finding, in general, average completion times of less than 3 minutes in New York<sup>14-17</sup> and in the Portuguese version<sup>18</sup>.

The internal structure of the PHS showed a high Cronbach  $\alpha$  (0.77) in both countries, demonstrating the coherence of the items of the instrument around the concept of mental health. The results in the previously mentioned studies report values between 0.75 - 0.94<sup>14-17</sup>. Brief scales such as the 12-item version of the *General Health Questionnaire* (GHQ-12), used in diverse

populations and languages, have reported Cronbach  $\alpha$  coefficients of 0.85 - 0.89 $^{20\text{-}23}$ . The factorial analysis (principal components) of the 10 items of the PHS, limited to one factor, show that most of the items are represented in the component extracted.

In order to study the discriminant value of the PHS in both samples, a group of psychiatric patients was compared with a control group (students and health care professionals) without known mental conditions. The present study has demonstrated its ability to discriminate between both groups with different levels of mental health in each country, results are similar to those found in New York and Brazil<sup>14-18</sup>, in which samples of psychiatric patients and health care students/professionals were also included. In Nicaragua<sup>7</sup> and Peru<sup>13</sup>, a similar design was used, but male workers attending an outpatient clinic and nonmedical hospital staff, respectively were used as controls, documenting in both cases similar results in regards to its discriminant abilityy. The analyses of the area under the ROC curve allowed the selection of the best cut-off score to discriminate between possible cases of mental disorders and healthy individuals; a score equal to or greater than 7 has an approximate Sensitivity of almost 50% and a Specificity of 80%, in the total sample.

### CONCLUSIONS

The results suggest that the PHS is an efficient, easy to use, brief (less than 3 minutes to complete) instrument. It has high internal consistency, adequate internal structure, and is capable of discriminating between samples of psychiatric patients and individuals without mental disease.

The results documented in the validation of this instrument supports its proposed use in primary care settings, and for clinical and epidemiological research; its use could be relevant in other Latin American countries

### REFERENCES

- Organización Mundial de la Salud: Conjunto de Guías sobre Servicios y Políticas de Salud Mental. El Contexto de la Salud Mental. Editores Médicos, S.A., EDIMSA, 2005. Revised 24 January 2007. Available in: http://www.who.int/mental\_health/ policy/Maqueta\_OMS\_Contexto\_Ind.pdf
- World Health Organization: Mental Health. The bare facts. Revised 24 January 2007. Available in: http://www.who.int/mental\_health/en/index.html
- 3. Harding T, De Aragón J, Baltazar J, et al. Mental disorders in primary care health: a study of their frequency and diagnosis in four developing countries. Psychol Med 1980;10: 231-41.
- Joukamaa M, Lehtinen V, Karlsson H. The ability of general practitioners to detect mental disorders in primary health care.

- Acta Psychiatr Scand 1995;91: 52-6.
- World Health Organization: The WHO education package on mental disorders in primary health care. WHO/MSA/MNH/ EAC/98.1. Geneva, 1998.
- 6. De la Grecca R, Zapata-Vega MI, Vega-Dienstmaier J, Mazzotti G: Manejo de pacientes con trastornos mentales en servicios ambulatorios de medicina general en tres hospitales de Lima. Revista Médica Herediana 2005;16: 246-52
- Mezzich JE, Caldera JT, Berganza CE: Psychiatric diagnosis in primary care and the Personal Health Scale. In: Biegel A, Lopez-Ibor JJ, Costa e Silva JA, eds. Past, Present and Future of Psychiatry. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing; 1994
- Penayo U, Kullgren G, Caldera JT: Mental disorders among primary health care patients in Nicaragua. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 1990;82: 82-5.
- Penayo U, Caldera JT, Jacobsson L: Prevalencia de trastornos mentales en adultos de Subtiava, León, Nicaragua. Boletín de la Oficina Sanitaria Panamericana 1992;113: 137-49.
- Mezzich JE: An empirical prototypical approach to the definition of psychiatric illness, British Journal of Psychiatry 1989;154: 42-5.
- 11. Allport GW: The Person in Psychology. Boston, Mass.: Beacon Press, 1968.
- Strauss JS: The Person-Key to understanding mental illness: Towards a new dynamic psychiatry, III. British Journal of Psychiatry 1992;161(suppl 18):19-26.
- Zapata-Vega MI, Mezzich JE, Mazzotti G, de la Grecca R, Llanos JP, Hernández J: Estudio de Validación de la Escala de Salud Personal (ESP) en Lima, Perú. Psiquiatría y Salud Integral 1 2001;1: 54-8.
- Mezzich JE, Ruiperez M, Zapata-Vega MI, Gasca V, Yoon G: Validation Study of the English Version of the Personal Health Scale in New York City. Presented at the WPA International Congress, Caracas, October 2003.
- Mezzich JE, Ruiperez M, Zapata-Vega MI, Gasca V, Yoon G: Presentation and Validation of the Latino Version of the Personal Health Scale (PHS-La) in New York. Presented at the WPA International Congress, Caracas, October 2003.
- Mezzich JE, Liu J, Ruiperez M, Zapata-Vega MI, Gasca V: Presentation and Validation of the Chinese Version of the Personal Health Scale (PHS-Ch) in New York City. Presented at the WPA International Congress, Caracas, October 2003.
- Mezzich JE, Yoon G, Ruiperez M, Zapata-Vega MI, Gasca V: Presentation and Validation of the Korean Version of the Personal Health Scale (PHS-Kr) in New York. Presented at the WPA International Congress, Caracas, October 2003.
- Zubaran C, loppi AEE, Tarso D, Persch KN, Mezzich JE: Estudo de Validacao da versao em portugues da Escala de Súde Pessoal. Arquivos Brasileiros de Psiquiatria, Neurología e Medicina Legal. 2004;98: 53.
- 19. Bandolier: How Good is That Test II. Bandolier Evidence-based health care 1996;27: 64-6.
- García Viniegas CR: Manual para la utilización del cuestionario de salud general de Goldberg, adaptación cubana. Revista Cubana de Medicina General Integral 1999; 15: 88-97.
- Makowska Z, Merecz D: The usefulness of the Health Status Questionnaire: D. Goldberg's GHQ-12 and GHQ-28 for diagnosis of mental disorders in workers. Medycyna Pracy 2000;51: 589-601.
- 22. Daradkeh TK, Ghubash R, el-Rufaie OE: Reliability, validity, and factor structure of the Arabic version of the 12-item General

D. Jatuff, et al	A validation study of the Personal Health Scale in Argentina and Venezuela

Health Questionnaire. Psychological Reports 2001;89: 85-94. 23. Lopez-Castedo A, Fernandez L: Psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the 12-item General Health Questionnaire in adolescents. Perceptual and Motor Skills 2005;100: 676-80,.