Original

Francisco J. Acosta^{a*} Dulcinea Vega^b Pedro Saavedra^c

Knowledge of the treatment in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder and its relationship with nonadherence

- ^a Psychiatrist, PhD. Department of Mental Health. General Management of Healthcare Programs. The Canary Islands Health Service, The Canary Islands. Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital of Gran Canaria Doctor Negrín, The Canary Islands, Spain. ORCID: <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5835-2795</u>
- ^b Psychiatrist, PhD. University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria; Department of Psychiatry. Insular University Hospital of Gran Canaria, The Canary Islands, Spain.
- ^c Statistician, Professor. Department of Mathematics, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, The Canary Islands, Spain.

ABSTRACT

Background and objectives. Despite its potential importance for adherence, knowledge of the treatment has been little studied in patients with psychosis. We performed this study to assess the possible association between knowledge of the treatment and nonadherence, unintentional nonadherence (UNA) and intentional nonadherence (INA).

Methods. We assessed 106 consecutively admitted patients diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Evaluations were carried out during hospitalization and after six-months of follow-up. This included sociodemographic, clinical, psychopathologic variables and those related to treatment. Adherence was interpreted as the concurrence of adherence to antipsychotic treatment and adherence to outpatient follow-up over the course of the six-month period. We established two subtypes according to the main reason for nonadherence: unintentional and intentional nonadherence.

Results. Inadequate knowledge of the treatment was detected in 45.3% of patients. Adherent patients, as compared to nonadherent patients, showed no difference regarding knowledge of the treatment (median 77 vs. 77, respectively; p = 0.232). Nevertheless, UNA patients showed worse knowledge of the treatment as compared to adherent patients (median 62 vs. 77 respectively; p < 0.001), whereas INA patients showed better knowledge of the treatment as compared to adherent patients (median 86 vs. 77, respectively; p = 0.226).

Conclusions. A large number of patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder did not have an appropriate knowledge of their treatment. More importantly, our results suggest that inadequate knowledge of the treatment may contribute to nonadherence in patients with unintentional nonadherence.

Keywords. Knowledge; Adherence; Schizophrenia; Intentional nonadherence; Unintentional nonadherence

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 157-66 | ISSN: 1578-2735

CONOCIMIENTO DEL TRATAMIENTO EN ESQUIZOFRENIA Y TRASTORNO ESQUIZOAFECTIVO Y SU RELACIÓN CON LA NO ADHERENCIA

RESUMEN

Introducción. El conocimiento del tratamiento ha sido escasamente estudiado en pacientes con psicosis, a pesar de su potencial importancia para la adherencia. Evaluamos la posible asociación entre el conocimiento del tratamiento y la no adherencia, no adherencia no intencional (NANI) y no adherencia intencional (NAI).

Metodología. Se incluyeron 106 pacientes con diagnóstico de esquizofrenia o trastorno esquizoafectivo que ingresaron consecutivamente. Las evaluaciones se realizaron durante la hospitalización y a los seis meses de seguimiento. Se incluyeron variables sociodemográficas, clínicas, psicopatológicas y relacionadas con el tratamiento. La adherencia se definió como la concurrencia de adherencia al tratamiento antipsicótico y adherencia al seguimiento ambulatorio durante ese periodo de seis meses. Establecimos dos subtipos de no adherencia dependiendo del motivo principal de no adherencia: NANI y NAI.

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 157-66 | ISSN: 1578-2735

^{*}Corresponding author: Consejería de Sanidad. 3ª planta. Dirección General de Programas Asistenciales. C/ Pérez del Toro (Plaza Dr. Juan Bosch Millares, 1). E-35.004 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Gran Canaria, España. Tel.: 0034 928 217145 – Fax: 0034 928 217191 (Correo electrónico: facoart@gobiernodecanarias.org)

^{© 2023} Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría - Fundación López Ibor https://www.fundacionlopezibor.es/ Editado por © 2023 Amazing Books S.L. https://amazingbooks.es/

Francisco J. Acosta, et al.

Resultados. El 45,3% de los pacientes mostraron un inadecuado conocimiento del tratamiento. Los pacientes adherentes, comparados con los no adherentes, no mostraron diferencias en el conocimiento del tratamiento (mediana 77 vs. 77, respectivamente; p = 0,232). Sin embargo, los pacientes NANI presentaron peor conocimiento del tratamiento comparados con los pacientes adherentes (mediana 62 vs. 77 respectivamente; p < 0,001), mientras que los pacientes NAI presentaron mejor conocimiento del tratamiento comparados con los pacientes adherentes (mediana 86 vs. 77, respectivamente; p = 0,026).

Conclusión. Un alto porcentaje de los pacientes con esquizofrenia y trastorno esquizoafectivo no tienen un adecuado conocimiento del tratamiento. Además, nuestros resultados sugieren que un inadecuado conocimiento del tratamiento puede contribuir a la no adherencia en pacientes con no adherencia no intencional.

Palabras clave. Conocimiento; Adherencia; Esquizofrenia; No adherencia intencional; No adherencia no intencional

INTRODUCTION

Nonadherence in schizophrenia is still a common phenomenon, approximately 40% to 50%.^{1,2} Unfortunately, there are still not many predictive factors of note.³ Thus far, the most consistent risk factors for nonadherence include previous nonadherence, poor awareness, negative attitude towards treatment and substance abuse.^{1,3-6}

The heterogeneity of findings related to several risk factors for nonadherence³ may be attributable to some major factors. First, most available studies have methodologic limitations, such as the absence of a valid assessment method^{3,7} or a cross-sectional design.^{1,3} Moreover, only recently has there been expert consensus providing a conceptual and operative definition of adherence.² Second, the scarcity of consistently identified correlates³ could also be due to real heterogeneity among nonadherent patients. Thus, the existence of two main subtypes according to intentionality has been hypothesized,⁷⁻¹¹ and we recently supported this hypothesis in a study specifically designed to test it.¹² Third, some variables remain understudied.³

Knowledge of the treatment has been studied little in psychosis patients. Some studies are descriptive.¹³⁻¹⁵ One study explored the demographic correlates of knowledge about prescribed antipsychotics,¹⁶ another study explored the demographic correlates of knowledge regarding diagnosis and treatment,¹⁷ and two further studies explored both the characteristics and the relationship with nonadherence.¹⁸⁻¹⁹ Although such studies failed to find an association

between knowledge of the treatment and adherence, Lau *et al.*¹⁸ found adherence to medication to be positively associated with knowledge of the purpose for taking medication. Unfortunately, there is no uniform description for the precise constituents of patient drug knowledge, and operational definitions of this concept vary significantly in the literature.¹⁸ Furthermore, this absence of association could be influenced by heterogeneity in the nature of nonadherent patients with psychosis.

Against this backdrop, we conducted this prospective study on patients admitted to hospital diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Nonadherence has been revealed as the most important reason for entering hospital in 58.6% of patients²⁰ and it is common in the months after discharge.²¹ Since differentiated subtypes of nonadherence according to intentionality seem to exist in patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder¹², it would be advisable to study not only the possible relationship between knowledge of the treatment and nonadherence, but also between knowledge of the treatment and both unintentional and intentional nonadherence.

The objectives of this study were:

1. To assess the prevalence of inadequate knowledge of the treatment

2. To assess the variables associated with inadequate knowledge of the treatment.

3. To evaluate the possible relationship between knowledge of the treatment and nonadherence.

4. To assess the possible relationship between knowing about the treatment and subtypes of nonadherence according to intentionality.

We hypothesized that inadequate knowledge of treatment would be associated with nonadherence or otherwise that it would be associated with unintentional nonadherence.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients

This naturalistic, observational and six-month follow-up prospective study included a total of 110 patients diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder according to ICD-10 criteria, consecutively admitted to the Acute Patients Unit of the Insular University Hospital of Gran Canaria, The Canary Islands, Spain. This was over an 18-month period since recruitment began (February 2017), and whose

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 157-66 | ISSN: 1578-2735

Editado por © 2023 Amazing Books S.L. https://amazingbooks.es/

follow-up was set to take place at the Community Mental Health Unit (CMHU) of Vecindario, which covered a total population of 195,410 people. Additional inclusion criteria included patients being aged over 18, understanding the information pertaining to the study and agreeing to take part. Exclusion criteria were suffering from intellectual disability or dementia. Although a total of 115 patients complied with the inclusion criteria, five patients refused to take part. Since there were four lost cases at six months assessment, our final sample included 106 patients. This study was approved by the Insular University Hospital of Gran Canaria Ethics Committee. All patients were notified about the study's features and provided their consent in writing.

Procedure

Baseline evaluation was conducted on any of the seven days before discharge, preferably on the day before discharge. Follow-up assessment was conducted six months after discharge. All patients were assessed by the same psychiatrist (D.V.). The evaluating psychiatrist obtained further information in regard to adherence from the CMHU therapeutic team. This evaluation was performed in addition to those carried out by the CMHU therapeutic team, which is made up of a psychiatrist, mental health nurse, and social worker if necessary.

Baseline evaluation included sociodemographic, clinical, psychopathologic and variables related to treatment, which are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The different scales used to assess psychopathologic variables have been reported elsewhere.^{12,22}

Knowledge of treatment

Knowing about the treatment was assessed by means of an ad hoc questionnaire, whose items are displayed in Table 3. These included knowing about the treatment, diagnosis and follow-up. Knowing about the treatment was restricted to the main antipsychotic, as deemed by the psychiatrist. Each item was worded as a question. Each response was given a score of 1 if correct or 0 if incorrect. To avoid potential bias due to the "Hawthorne effect"²³ patients were not notified that their knowledge of treatment, diagnosis and follow-up was to be evaluated at one hour, two weeks and six months after the instruction.

We studied knowledge of treatment as a continuous and dichotomous variable. We used it as a continuous variable when analyzing differences between groups according to adherence and subtypes of nonadherence, and as a dichotomous variable when analyzing the relationship between inadequate knowledge of the treatment and the variables studied. We defined inadequate knowledge of the treatment as a total mean score below 75%, and appropriate or sufficient knowledge when the score was 75% or over. This cutoff point was arbitrary, due to the absence of any consensus regarding operational definitions in the literature. Total average was calculated at six-months evaluation and shows the average of the values at the three time-points.

Knowledge of the treatment was evaluated from a comprehensive perspective, which included pharmacologic treatment and also outpatient follow-up.²⁴ We also included an item related to diagnosis, since we considered it essential and related to the need for treatment.

Adherence

Adherence was understood as adherence to antipsychotic treatment and outpatient follow-up over the six-month period. Adherence to antipsychotic treatment was understood as the simultaneity of objective and subjective adherence.

Objective adherence was understood as taking more than 80% of prescribed medication, as recommended by expert consensus.² Medication Possession Ratio (MPR) was used to evaluate adherence to oral antipsychotics. Medical records were used to evaluate adherence to long-acting injectables (LAI). The MPR is a ratio of number of days' supply to total days' study participation per participant.²⁵ They were calculated per patient by dividing the number of outpatient days' supply of medication the patient received over the study period by the number of days' supply they required if they were taking their outpatient medication continuously, as done before.²⁶ In the event of two or more oral antipsychotics, the mean of the respective MPR was obtained. In regard to LAI, the right administration was deemed a dose administered within three days of the planned dose, as previously.⁹

Subjective adherence was understood as a score of three to four on the Morisky-Green test,²⁷ and a score above 80% on the Brief Adherence Rating Scale (BARS) after six months. The BARS is a four-item scale specifically devised to measure adherence to antipsychotics in schizophrenia.²⁸

Adherence to outpatient follow-up was understood as attendance at planned visits over 80% (after removing justified absences) and the absence of dropout, defined as not attending planned visits for at least six months.

Therefore, nonadherence was defined as nonadherence to antipsychotic treatment, nonadherence to outpatient follow-up, or both. For its part, nonadherence to antipsychotic treatment was understood as the occurrence of objective nonadherence, subjective nonadherence or both.

Table 1

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the sample during hospitalization and six-month follow-up and differences between patients with or without appropriate knowledge of treatment

N=Age (years)40.6Sex (male) ^a 65Marital status (married) ^b 25Educational level (secondary or nigher) ^c 55Socio-economic level (low) ^d 64Employment situation (active) ^c 12Social situation (living alone) ^f 31Reason for admission13Change in treatment regimen13Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of fadmission (days)23 (1congtine since last hospitalization (y)9.0 (4.1Vumber of prior psychiatric dimissions1.0 (0Ime since last hospitalization (y)3.0 (1CD Diagnosis Schizophrenia61Schizoaffective disorder45Sumber of daily doses (oral anti- psychotic)1.0 (1.1Number of psychotropic tablets per day5.0 (4.1SUMD, general awareness11 (5)).0 - 4.0)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{IK} \\ \text{N=48} \\ 45.3 \pm 11.3 \\ 33 (68.8) \\ 12 (25.0) \\ 15 (31.2) \\ 30 (62.5) \\ 3 (6.2) \\ 15 (31.2) \\ 4 (8.3) \\ 6 (12.5) \\ 25 (52.1) \\ 6 (12.5) \\ 4 (8.3) \\ 26 (15 - 35) \\ 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) \\ 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) \\ 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) \\ 31 (64.6) \\ 17 (35.4) \\ 22 (45.8) \end{array}$	AK N=58 36.7 ± 9.2 32 (55.2) 13 (22.4) 40 (69.0) 34 (58.6) 9 (15.5) 16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3) 27 (46.5)	 P-value < .001 0.153 0.755 < 0.001 0.841 0.841 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182 0.941 	Total N=106	IK N=48	AK N=58	<i>P</i> -value	neses
ex (male)a65Marital status (married)b25Aurital status (married)b25ducational level (secondary or igher)c55oocio-economic level (low)d64mployment situation (active)c12oocial situation (living alone)d31Reason for admission13Change in treatment regimen13Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.1lumber of prior psychiatric dmissions1.0 (0chizophrenia61Schizophrenia61Schizophrenia61Schizophrenia61Substance use or abuse49listory of substance use or abuse49listory of substance use or abuse61lumber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.2lumber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.2UMD, general awareness11 (5	(61.3) (23.6) (51.9) (60.4) (11.3) (29.2) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) (44 - 34) .00 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) .5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	33 (68.8) 12 (25.0) 15 (31.2) 30 (62.5) 3 (6.2) 15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 32 \ (55.2) \\ 13 \ (22.4) \\ 40 \ (69.0) \\ 34 \ (58.6) \\ 9 \ (15.5) \\ 16 \ (27.6) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 9 \ (15.5) \\ 5 \ (8.6) \\ 27 \ (46.6) \\ 7 \ (12.1) \\ 7 \ (12.1) \\ 19 \ (13 - 26) \\ 8.0 \ (3.0 - 13.0) \\ 2.0 \ (0.0 - 4.0) \\ 3.0 \ (1.5 - 5.0) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 30 \ (51.7) \\ 28 \ (48.3) \\ \end{array}$	0.153 0.755 < 0.001 0.684 0.134 0.680 0.841 0.841 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Marital status (married) ^b 25 ducational level (secondary or igher) ^c 55 ocio-economic level (low) ^d 64 mployment situation (active) ^c 12 ocial situation (living alone) ^f 31 eason for admission 11 Change in treatment regimen 13 Nonadherence 11 Outpatient dropout 52 Substance use or abuse 13 Stressful life events 11 ength of the disorder (y) 9.0 (4.1) lumber of prior psychiatric 10.0 (0 dmissions 3.0 (1 CD Diagnosis Schizophrenia 61 Schizophrenia 61 1.2 Substance use or abuse 49 1.2 Umber of substance use or abuse 49 1.2 Schizophrenia 61 1.2 Substance use or abuse 41 1.0 Umber of baychotropic tablets 5.0 1.0 umber of psychotropic tablets 5.0 4.2	$\begin{array}{c} (23.6) \\ (51.9) \\ (60.4) \\ (11.3) \\ (29.2) \\ (12.3) \\ (10.4) \\ (49.1) \\ (12.3) \\ (10.4) \\ (14 - 34) \\ .0 - 18.0) \\ 14 - 34) \\ .0 - 18.0) \\ .5 - 6.0) \\ (57.5) \\ (42.5) \end{array}$	12 (25.0) 15 (31.2) 30 (62.5) 3 (6.2) 15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	13 (22.4) 40 (69.0) 34 (58.6) 9 (15.5) 16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.755 < 0.001 0.684 0.134 0.680 0.841 0.841 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
ducational level (secondary or igher) ^c 55 ocio-economic level (low) ^d 64 mployment situation (active) ^c 12 ocial situation (living alone) ^f 31 ideason for admission 11 Change in treatment regimen 13 Nonadherence 11 Outpatient dropout 52 Substance use or abuse 13 Stressful life events 11 ength of admission (days) 23 (1 ength of the disorder (y) 9.0 (4. lumber of prior psychiatric 1.0 (0 dmissions 1.0 (0 ime since last hospitalization (y) 3.0 (1 CD Diagnosis Schizophrenia Schizophrenia 61 Surrent substance use or abuse 49 listory of substance use or abuse 49 lumber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic) 1.0 (1. lumber of psychotropic tablets 5.0 (4. uMD, general awareness 11 (5	(51.9) (60.4) (11.3) (29.2) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) (44.34) $(0.0 - 18.0)$ (157.5) (42.5)	15 (31.2) 30 (62.5) 3 (6.2) 15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	40 (69.0) 34 (58.6) 9 (15.5) 16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	< 0.001 0.684 0.134 0.680 0.841 0.841 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
igher)°55occio-economic level (low)d64mployment situation (active)°12occial situation (living alone)f31eason for admission31Change in treatment regimen13Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0dmissions5Schizophrenia61Schizopffective disorder45urrent substance use or abuse49istory of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.UMD, general awareness11 (5)	(60.4) (11.3) (29.2) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) (14 - 34) (0 - 18.0) 1 (0.4 - 34) (0.5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42	30 (62.5) 3 (6.2) 15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	34 (58.6) 9 (15.5) 16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.684 0.134 0.680 0.841 0.841 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
mployment situation (active)12ocial situation (living alone)31cason for admission13Change in treatment regimen13Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.1umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions5Schizophrenia61Schizophrenia61Schizoaffective disorder45umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.2umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.2JMD, general awareness11 (5	(11.3) (29.2) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) $14 - 34)$ $.0 - 18.0)$ 1 $.5 - 6.0)$ (57.5) (42.5)	3 (6.2) 15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	9 (15.5) 16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.134 0.680 0.841 0.010 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
mployment situation (active)*12ocial situation (living alone)f31cason for admission13Change in treatment regimen13Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.1umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0dmissions3.0 (12D DiagnosisSchizophreniaSchizophrenia61story of substance use or abuse49umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.2umber of psychotropic tablets1.0 (1.2umber of psychotropic tablets5.0 (4.2yJMD, general awareness11 (5	(29.2) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) 14 - 34) 0 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) .5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.680 0.841 0.010 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Decial situation (living alone)31change in treatment regimen13Change in treatment regimen13Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.1umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0dmissions3.0 (12D DiagnosisSchizophreniaSchizoaffective disorder45umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.2umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.2JMD, general awareness11 (5	(29.2) (12.3) (10.4) (49.1) (12.3) (10.4) 14 - 34) 0 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) .5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	15 (31.2) 4 (8.3) 6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	16 (27.6) 9 (15.5) 5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.841 0.010 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
eason for admission Change in treatment regimen 13 Nonadherence 11 Outpatient dropout 52 Substance use or abuse 13 Stressful life events 11 ength of admission (days) 23 (1 ength of the disorder (y) 9.0 (4.1 umber of prior psychiatric 1.0 (0 Imissions 1.0 (0 Imissions 5.2 Schizophrenia 61 Schizoaffective disorder 45 urrent substance use or abuse 49 Strive of substance use or abuse 49 Strive of substance use or abuse 49 Schizoaffective disorder 45 umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic) 1.0 (1.2 umber of psychotropic tablets 5.0 (4.2 JMD, general awareness 11 (5	$\begin{array}{l} (10.4) \\ (49.1) \\ (12.3) \\ (10.4) \\ 14 - 34) \\ 0.0 - 18.0) \\ 1.5 - 6.0) \\ (57.5) \\ (42.5) \end{array}$	6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.010 0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Nonadherence11Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ingth of admission (days)23 (1ingth of admission (days)23 (1ingth of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions1.0 (0me since last hospitalization (y)3.0 (1D Diagnosis5Schizoaffective disorder45urrent substance use or abuse49story of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets5.0 (4.yMD, general awareness11 (5	$\begin{array}{l} (10.4) \\ (49.1) \\ (12.3) \\ (10.4) \\ 14 - 34) \\ 0.0 - 18.0) \\ 1.5 - 6.0) \\ (57.5) \\ (42.5) \end{array}$	6 (12.5) 25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	5 (8.6) 27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Outpatient dropout52Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of admission (days)23 (1umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions3.0 (1D Diagnosis3.0 (1Schizoaffective disorder45story of substance use or abuse61umber of psychiatric use or abuse49urrent substance use or abuse61umber of faily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4JMD, general awareness11 (5	$\begin{array}{l} (49.1) \\ (12.3) \\ (10.4) \\ 14 - 34) \\ 0.0 - 18.0) \\ 1 \\ 0.0 - 4.0) \\ 1.5 - 6.0) \\ (57.5) \\ (42.5) \end{array}$	25 (52.1) 6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ngth of admission (days)23 (1ngth of admission (days)23 (1ngth of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions1.0 (0me since last hospitalization (y)3.0 (1D Diagnosis3.1 (1Schizophrenia61Schizophrenia61story of substance use or abuse49story of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets r day5.0 (4.JMD, general awareness11 (5.	(12.3) (10.4) 14 - 34) .0 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) 1.5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	27 (46.6) 7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Substance use or abuse13Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.1umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions3.0 (1D Diagnosis3.0 (1Schizoaphrenia61Schizoaffective disorder45urrent substance use or abuse61istory of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.1umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.1JMD, general awareness11 (5	(12.3) (10.4) 14 - 34) .0 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) 1.5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	6 (12.5) 4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	7 (12.1) 7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Stressful life events11ength of admission (days)23 (1ength of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0dmissions3.0 (1tD Diagnosis3.0 (1Schizophrenia61Schizophrenia61schizoaffective disorder45umber of psychiatric usbstance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets5.0 (4.JMD, general awareness11 (5.	(10.4) 14 - 34) .0 - 18.0) 1 .0 - 4.0) .5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	4 (8.3) 26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	7 (12.1) 19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
ength of admission (days) 23 (1 ength of the disorder (y) 9.0 (4. umber of prior psychiatric Imissions 1.0 (0 Imissions 3.0 (1 D Diagnosis 5 Schizophrenia 61 1 Schizoaffective disorder 45 1 urrent substance use or abuse 49 1 istory of substance use or abuse 61 1 umber of daily doses (oral anti- cychotic) 1.0 (1. umber of psychotropic tablets er day 5.0 (4.	14 - 34) 0 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) 1.5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	26 (15 - 35) 10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	19 (13 - 26) 8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
Ingth of the disorder (y)9.0 (4.1umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions3.0 (1D Diagnosis3.0 (1Schizophrenia61Schizoaffective disorder45urrent substance use or abuse49istory of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- rychotic)1.0 (1.1umber of psychotropic tablets5.0 (4.1JMD, general awareness11 (5	0 - 18.0) 1 0.0 - 4.0) 1.5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	10.0 (5.0 - 20.0) 1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	8.0 (3.0 - 13.0) 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.109 0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
umber of prior psychiatric1.0 (0Imissions1.0 (0me since last hospitalization (y)3.0 (1D Diagnosis5Schizophrenia61Schizoaffective disorder45urrent substance use or abuse49istory of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.JMD, general awareness11 (5.	0.0 - 4.0) 1.5 - 6.0) (57.5) (42.5)	1.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 3.0 (1.9 - 7.1) 31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	2.0 (0.0 - 4.0) 3.0 (1.5 - 5.0) 30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.218 0.419 0.182					neses
D Diagnosis 61 Schizophrenia 61 Schizoaffective disorder 45 urrent substance use or abuse 49 story of substance use or abuse 61 umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic) 1.0 (1. umber of psychotropic tablets r day 5.0 (4. JMD, general awareness 11 (5)	(57.5) (42.5)	31 (64.6) 17 (35.4)	30 (51.7) 28 (48.3)	0.182					neses
D Diagnosis 61 Schizophrenia 61 Schizoaffective disorder 45 urrent substance use or abuse 49 istory of substance use or abuse 61 umber of daily doses (oral anti- sychotic) 1.0 (1. umber of psychotropic tablets er day 5.0 (4. JMD, general awareness 11 (5.	(42.5)	17 (35.4)	28 (48.3)						
Schizophrenia61Schizoaffective disorder45urrent substance use or abuse49story of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets r day5.0 (4.JMD, general awareness11 (5.	(42.5)	17 (35.4)	28 (48.3)	0.941					
Schizoaffective disorder45 lineurrent substance use or abuse49 linestory of substance use or abuse61 lineumber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets r day5.0 (4.JMD, general awareness11 (st			28 (48.3)	0.941					
urrent substance use or abuse49story of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets er day5.0 (4.JMD, general awareness11 (5.			27 (46 5)	0.941					
story of substance use or abuse61umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic)1.0 (1.umber of psychotropic tablets r day5.0 (4.IMD, general awareness11 (5.			21 (TU.J)	0.341					6)
umber of daily doses (oral anti- ychotic) 1.0 (1. umber of psychotropic tablets r day 5.0 (4. JMD, general awareness 11 (9	(57.5)	26 (54.2)	35 (60.3)	0.522					4)
umber of psychotropic tablets 5.0 (4. r day JMD, general awareness 11 (9		1.0 (1.0 – 2.0)	1.0 (1.0 – 2.0)	0.111					6)
JMD, general awareness 11 (S	.0 – 8.0)	6.0 (4.8 – 9.0)	5.0 (3.0 - 8.0)	0.084					4)
GI-S-SCH	9 - 15)	11 (9 - 15)	11 (9 - 15)	0.854	9.0 (6.0 - 11.0)	9.0 (6.0 - 13.5)	9.0 (5.0 - 10.5)	0.242))
	.0 – 3.0)	3.0 (3.0 - 4.0)	3.0 (2.2 - 3.0)	0.024	2.0 (1.2 – 3.0)	2.5 (2.0 – 3.0)	2.0 (1.0 – 2.0)	0.002	5)
		2.0 (2.0 - 3.0)	2.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	0.028	2.0 (1.2 - 2.0)	2.0 (2.0 - 3.0)	2.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	< 0.001	1)
		1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	0.870	1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	2.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	0.131	2)
	,	2.0 (2.0 - 2.2)	1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	< 0.001	2.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	2.0 (2.0 - 2.0)	1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	< 0.001	4)
		3.0 (3.0 - 3.0)	3.0 (2.0 - 3.0)	0.045	2.0 (2.0 - 3.0)	3.0 (2.0 - 3.0)	2.0 (2.0 - 3.0)	0.002	5)
	39 - 57)	40 (31 - 52)	52 (44 - 61)	< 0.001	50 (42 - 58)	44 (33 - 51)	56 (47 - 61)	< 0.001	3)
`	9 - 15)	10 (8 - 15)	13 (11 - 16)	0.003	14 (11 - 17)	12 (10 - 15)	15 (12 - 18)	< 0.001	
5	13 - 21)	14 (11 - 18)	19 (16 - 21)	< 0.003	17 (14 - 20)	14 (12 - 18)	18 (16 - 20)	< 0.001	7)
	8 - 13)	9 (6 - 10)	12 (9 - 14)	< 0.001	11 (8 - 13)	9 (7 - 11)	11 (9 - 13)	< 0.001	;)
		3.0 (2.0 - 4.0)	4.0 (3.0 - 5.0)	0.001	4.0 (3.0 - 5.0)	3.0 (2.0 - 4.0)	5.0 (4.0 - 6.0)	< 0.001	1)
		3.0 (2.0 - 4.0)	4.0 (3.0 – 3.0) 5.0 (3.0 – 6.8)	< 0.002	4.0 (3.0 - 5.0)	3.0 (2.8 – 4.0) 3.0 (2.8 – 5.0)	5.0 (4.0 - 6.0)	< 0.001	
	9 - 27)	13 (9 - 20)	20 (11 - 30)	0.001	4.0 (3.0 - 0.0) 21 (14 - 36)	18 (11 - 36)	24 (17 - 36)	0.068	
	-	3.2 (2.4 – 3.5)	3.0 (2.2 - 3.7)	0.002	3.0 (2.5 - 3.5)	3.0 (2.5 – 3.3)	3.0 (2.5 - 3.5)		
		2.5 (2.4 - 3.3)	2.5 (2.2 - 3.7)	0.519	2.2 (2.0 - 3.0)	2.5 (2.0 – 3.0)	2.2 (1.8 - 2.8)	0.009	5)
		3.2 (2.4 – 4.0)	2.8 (2.2 - 3.6)	0.515	2.2 (2.0 - 3.0) 3.2 (2.6 - 3.7)	2.3 (2.0 - 3.0) 3.2 (2.4 - 3.6)	3.2 (1.6 – 2.6) 3.2 (2.6 – 4.0)	0.356)
		2.8 (2.4 - 3.0)	2.8 (2.2 - 3.6) 2.8 (2.4 - 3.6)	0.570	2.8 (2.4 – 3.4)	3.2 (2.4 - 3.0) 3.0 (2.4 - 3.4)	2.8 (2.2 – 3.4)	0.330)
rug Attitude Inventory (DAI) 2.0 (-3		3.0 (-1.0 - 7.0)	2.8 (2.4 - 3.0) 1.0 (-3.0 - 7.0)	0.690	3.0 (-3.0 - 7.0)		2.0 (2.2 - 3.4) 3.0 (-1.0 - 7.0)		8)

shown as means ± 10, hetidentiestion of higher education; diagnosis and rollow-up (273%). Data at constraints and rollow-up (275%). Data at constraints and

(≥75%). Los datos se muestran como medias ± DE (desviación estándar), frecuencias (%) y medianas (IQR: Interquartile range, rango intercuartil).

© 2023 Actas Españolas de Psiguiatría - Fundación López Ibor https://www.fundacionlopezibor.es/

Editado por © 2023 Amazing Books S.L. https://amazingbooks.es/

Table 2

Characteristics of the sample related to the treatment during hospitalization and six-month follow-up and differences between patients with or without appropriate knowledge of treatment

		Hospita	lization	6-months follow-up				
	Total	IK	AK		Total	IK	AK	Durla
	N=106	N=48	N=58	p-value	N=106	N=48	N=58	P -value
Supervision of the treatment				0.815				0.027
No	36 (37.1)	18 (38.3)	18 (36.0)		21 (19.8)	5 (10.4)	16 (27.6)	
Yes	61 (62.9)	29 (61.7)	32 (64.0)		85 (80.2)	43 (89.6)	42 (72.4)	
Route of administration of antipsychotic				0.744				0.976
Injectable/Injectable plus Oral	60 (56.6)	28 (58.3)	32 (55.2)		62 (58.5)	28 (58.3)	34 (58.6)	
Oral	46 (43.4)	20 (41.7)	26 (44.8)		44 (41.5)	20 (41.7)	24 (41.4)	
Pharmacologic treatment								
Antipsychotic	104 (98.1)	48 (100.0)	56 (96.5)	1	106 (100)	48 (100)	58 (100)	1
Mood stabilizer	33 (31.4)	15 (31.2)	18 (31.6)	0.971	34 (32.4)	14 (29.8)	20 (34.5)	0.609
Antidepressant	20 (18.9)	10 (20.8)	10 (17.2)	0.638	26 (25.0)	10 (21.3)	16 (28.1)	0.426
Anxiolytic	96 (90.6)	44 (91.7)	52 (89.7)	1	79 (75.2)	39 (81.2)	40 (70.2)	0.190
Anticholinergic	24 (22.6)	13 (27.1)	11 (19.0)	0.32	29 (27.6)	16 (34.0)	13 (22.4)	0.185
Hypnotic	100 (94.3)	46 (95.8)	54 (93.1)	0.687	79 (74.5)	41 (85.4)	38 (65.5)	0.019
Nonpsychiatric treatment	33 (31.1)	18 (37.5)	15 (25.9)	0.198	40 (38.5)	23 (50.0)	17 (29.3)	0.031
Reasons for nonadherence 1. The patient does not believe in the need for treatment	75 (70.8)	36 (75.0)	39 (67.2)	0.382	74 (69.8)	33 (68.8)	41 (70.7)	0.829
2. Forgetfulness	24 (22.6)	15 (31.2)	9 (15.5)	0.054	52 (49.1)	46 (95.8)	6 (10.3)	< 0.001
3. To minimize or obviate possible adverse effects	53 (50.0)	23 (47.9)	30 (51.7)	0.834	64 (60.4)	21 (43.8)	43 (74.1)	< 0.001
4. Misunderstanding	6 (5.7)	2 (4.2)	4 (6.9)	0.687	18 (17.0)	12 (25.0)	6 (10.3)	0.045
5. To minimize or obviate possible risk of addiction	3 (2.8)	1 (2.1)	2 (3.5)	1	3 (2.8)	2 (4.2)	1 (1.7)	0.589
6. To make the regimen more acceptable to fit with their daily schedule	13 (12.3)	4 (8.3)	9 (15.5)	0.262	23 (21.7)	3 (6.2)	20 (34.5)	< 0.001
7. Regimen complexity	4 (3.8)	3 (6.2)	1 (1.7)	0.327	9 (8.5)	7 (14.6)	2 (3.5)	0.075
 Financial reasons and/or accessibility problems 	7 (6.6)	3 (6.2)	4 (6.9)	1	14 (13.2)	7 (14.6)	7 (12.1)	0.704
9. To see what happens without treatment	13 (12.3)	4 (8.3)	9 (15.5)	0.262	32 (30.2)	6 (12.5)	26 (44.8)	< 0.001
10. Replacing medicines with non- pharmacologic treatments	4 (3.8)	2 (4.2)	2 (3.5)	1	6 (5.7)	1 (2.1)	5 (8.6)	0.218
11. Poor therapeutic alliance	2 (1.9)	0	2 (3.5)	0.5	10 (9.4)	2 (4.2)	8 (13.8)	0.108
12. To avoid stigma associated with antipsychotics	28 (26.4)	11 (22.9)	17 (29.3)	0.457	31 (29.2)	9 (18.8)	22 (37.9)	0.031
13. Others	3 (2.8)	3 (6.2)	0	0.090	6 (5.7)	2 (4.2)	4 (6.9)	0.687

AK: Appropriate knowledge of treatment, diagnosis and follow-up (\geq 75%). IK: Inadequate Knowledge of treatment, diagnosis and follow-up (<75%). Data are shown as means \pm SD, frequencies (%) and medians (IQR).

Nonadherence subtypes

Subtypes were assigned at six months follow-up, after medical evaluation, information from the CMHU therapeutic team, clinical record details, and interview of family members when required. Two subtypes were established according to the main reason for nonadherence: unintentional nonadherence (UNA) and intentional nonadherence (INA).¹² When patients claimed several reasons these were recorded, in order to identify patients with mixed reasons (i.e. related to both UNA and INA). The full set of reasons for nonadherence used was based on extensive literature reviews^{29,30} as shown in Table 2.

Statistical analysis

Categoric and continuous variables were expressed respectively as frequencies and percentages and as mean and standard deviation (SD) when data were in accordance with a normal distribution, or as median and interquartile range (IQR=25th-75th percentile) when distribution moved away from normality. Percentages were compared, as appropriate, using Chi-square (χ 2) test or exact Fisher tests; means and medians were compared by the student *t*-test and Wilcoxon test for independent data, respectively. Statistical significance was fixed at *P*<0.05. Tendency to significance was considered for p-values between 0.05 and 0.1. Data were analyzed using the software R package, version 3.3.1.³¹ The study's statistical review was performed by a biomedical statistician.

Table 3

Characteristics of knowledge of the treatment, diagnosis and follow-up at the three time-point assessments

		1 hour			20 days			6 months	
Knowledge of the treatment,	TOTAL	IK	AK	TOTAL	IK	AK	TOTAL	IK	AK
diagnosis and follow-up	(N=106)	(N=48)	(N=58)	(N=106)	(N=48)	(N=58)	(N=106)	(N=48)	(N=58)
1. Diagnosis	64 (60.4)	22 (45.8)	42 (72.4)	62 (58.5)	22 (45.8)	40 (69.0)	63 (59.4)	21 (43.8)	42 (72.4)
2. Name of antipsychotic	60 (56.6)	16 (33.3)	44 (75.9)	49 (46.2)	7 (14.6)	42 (72.4)	70 (66)	15 (31.2)	55 (94.8)
3. Route of administration	101 (95.3)	43 (89.6)	58 (100)	101 (95.3)	43 (89.6)	58 (100)	99 (93.4)	42 (87.5)	57 (9.3)
4. Number of daily doses	77 (72.6)	23 (47.9)	54 (93.1)	81 (76.4)	26 (54.2)	55 (94.8)	88 (83)	32 (66.7)	56 (96.6)
5. Scheduled hours of medication intake	69 (65.1)	21 (43.8)	48 (82.8)	73 (68.9)	21 (43.8)	52 (89.7)	77 (72.6)	25 (52.1)	52 (89.7)
6. Dosage	40 (37.7)	7 (14.6)	33 (56.9)	31 (29.2)	4 (8.3)	27 (46.6)	41 (38.7)	5 (10.4)	36 (62.1)
7. Objective of the treatment	85 (80.2)	32 (66.7)	53 (91.4)	84 (79.2)	31 (64.6)	53 (91.4)	81 (76.4)	29 (60.4)	52 (89.7)
8. Possible adverse effects	86 (81.1)	37 (77.1)	49 (84.5)	84 (79.2)	31 (64.6)	53 (91.4)	93 (87.7)	38 (79.2)	55 (94.8)
9. Estimated treatment duration	88 (83)	33 (68.8)	55 (94.8)	83 (78.3)	31 (64.6)	52 (89.7)	81 (76.4)	28 (58.3)	53 (91.4)
10. Outpatient follow-up, place	105 (99.1)	47 (97.9)	58 (100)	103 (97.2)	45 (93.8)	58 (100)	85 (80.2)	31 (64.6)	54 (93.1)
11. Outpatient follow-up, visit date	86 (81.1)	36 (75.0)	50 (86.2)	88 (83)	34 (70.8)	54 (93.1)			
12. Outpatient follow-up, estimated duration	91 (85.8)	38 (79.2)	53 (91.4)	97 (91.5)	40 (83.3)	57 (98.3)			

AK: Appropriate knowledge of treatment, diagnosis and follow-up (\geq 75%). IK: Inadequate knowledge of treatment, diagnosis and follow-up (<75%). Data are shown as frequencies (%).

RESULTS

Sample features and knowledge of the treatment

In our sample, the number of men (61.3%) was greater than the number of women, and average age was 40.7 years. The most frequent diagnosis was schizophrenia (57.5%), the duration of the psychiatric condition revealed a median of nine years, and prevalence of current drug use or dependency was high (46.2%). The full set of sociodemographic, clinical, psychopathologic and treatment-related variables is shown in Tables 1 and 2. The description of knowing about the therapy, clinical-diagnosis and follow-up, including its items and prevalence at one hour, 20 days and six months, is shown in Table 3. Up to 45.3% of patients showed inadequate knowledge of the treatment (mean scores below 75% comprising the three time-point assessments).

Associated variables to inadequate knowledge of the treatment

Patients with inadequate knowledge of the treatment, as compared to those with appropriate knowledge of the treatment, revealed older age (45.3 vs. 36.7; P<0.001), lower educational level (secondary or higher: 31.2% vs. 69%; P<0.001), longer hospital stay (26 vs. 19; P=0.010), greater prevalence of treatment supervision at six months (89.6% vs. 72.4%; P=0.027), greater prevalence of hypnotic use at six months (85.4 % vs. 65.5 %; P=0.019), and nonpsychiatric therapy at

six months (50% vs. 29.3%; *P*=0.031), greater overall severity of symptoms, psychotic, negative and cognitive symptoms, as measured by the Clinical Global Impression–Schizophrenia, severity subscale (CGI-S-SCH), both at admission and at six months, worse cognitive impairment, as measured by the Screen for Cognitive Impairment in Psychiatry scale (SCIP), worse perception of the degree of shared decision-making, and several differences regarding reasons for nonadherence. In this regard, forgetfulness was the only reason for nonadherence associated with inadequate knowledge of the treatment both at admission (tendency to significance) and at six months. The detailed description of the variables associated with inadequate knowledge of the treatment is shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Nonadherence and subtypes of nonadherence

In the sample, a total of 64 patients (58.2%) fulfilled nonadherence criteria after the follow-up period had ended. In regard to some specific nonadherence components, nonadherence to antipsychotic therapy was detected in a total of 62 patients (56.4%); objective and subjective nonadherence were 33.6% (n=37) and 48.2% (n=53) respectively; and nonadherence to follow-up was detected in 20.9% (n=23) of patients. Among nonadherent patients (N=64), 32 (50%) complied with criteria of intentional nonadherence (INA), and 32 (50%) unintentional nonadherence (UNA). Mixed reasons (i.e., simultaneity of two or more reasons, which have a bearing on both INA and UNA) were detected in a total of 42.2% of patients. A detailed description of these subtypes has been published elsewhere.¹²

Knowledge of the treatment and nonadherence, UNA and INA

Adherent patients, as opposed to nonadherent patients did not show any difference regarding knowledge of the treatment (median 77 vs. 77, respectively; P=0.232). Even so, when taking into consideration nonadherence subtypes, UNA patients showed worse knowledge of the treatment as compared to adherent patients (median 62 vs. 77 respectively; P<0.001), whereas INA patients showed better knowledge of the treatment as compared to adherent as compared to adherent patients (median 86 vs. 77, respectively; P=0.026) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess a possible relationship between knowing about the treatment and both unintentional and intentional nonadherence. The first remarkable finding of this study was the high prevalence (45.3%) of inadequate knowledge of the treatment, despite the fact that patients were evaluated in the subsequent and crucial months after discharge. When analyzing the specific components of this knowledge at six months from discharge, we found that essential aspects, such as name of the antipsychotic, its dosage, and diagnosis are only known by 66%, 38.7% and 59.4% of patients, respectively. Our results are consistent with the findings of other studies. In a sample of 109 inpatients with schizophrenia, it was found that only 60.6% were aware of their diagnosis and 67.0% knew details of their treatment plan.¹⁷ In another study a considerable prevalence of unawareness of the name of the antipsychotic (51.4%) and its dosage (28.6%) was found.¹⁸ In contrast, in a sample of outpatients with schizophrenia, 93% of patients knew the correct names of the drugs prescribed for them.¹³

Interestingly, we found a characteristic profile associated with inadequate knowledge of the treatment compared to those with appropriate knowledge, consisting mainly of older age, less education, worse cognitive impairment, more serious symptoms, more frequent hypnotic use and non-psychiatric treatment at six months, and greater prevalence of forgetfulness at six-months assessment as a reason for nonadherence. It seems plausible that forgetfulness is more common in patients with cognitive deficits, and that this deficit leads to worse understanding and memory of the treatment.^{32,33} Prospective memory may play a key role in medication management skills.³⁴ Other authors have also found an association between inadequate knowledge of the treatment and older age and lower educational level.^{16,18} More complex treatment has been found to be associated with nonadherence, 35,36 and hypnotics may affect cognitive function.37 In clinical practice, the identification of this profile might point to the convenience of being especially careful when explaining treatment adjusted to cognitive abilities, as well as assessing knowledge of the treatment, both on a periodic basis.

The main finding of this study was the relationship between inadequate knowledge of the treatment and unintentional adherence. Although inadequate knowledge of the treatment was not associated with nonadherence, when considering subtypes of nonadherence, it was associated with unintentional nonadherence. This finding points to two important aspects. First and from a general perspective, the fact that there are few regularly detected correlates for nonadherence in schizophrenia³ could also be because of actual diverseness among nonadherent patients.¹² This heterogeneity could lead, as in this case, to the assumption that a certain variable is not relevant

Table 4	Relationship between knowing about the treatment and nonadherence, including subtypes									
		Total sample (N=106)	Adherent (N=45)	vs. Nonadherent (N=61)	Р	vs. UNA (N=30)	Р	vs. INA (N=31)	Р	
Knowledge* (contir	1uous)									
At 1 hour		75 (67 - 92)	75 (67 - 92)	75 (58 - 92)	0.329	67 (58 - 74)	0.001	83 (75 - 92)	0.125	
At 20 days		75 (64 - 91)	75 (67 - 91)	83 (64 - 92)	0.640	65 (42 - 73)	0.002	83 (83 - 92)	0.022	
At 6 months		80 (60 - 90)	80 (62 - 100)	80 (60 - 90)	0.233	60 (40 - 70)	< 0.001	90 (80 - 100)	0.059	
Average of time-	points	77 (63 - 88)	77 (66 - 88)	77 (62 - 86)	0.232	62 (50 - 71)	< 0.001	86 (81 - 92)	0.026	
Knowledge* (dicho	tomous)				0.882		< 0.001		< 0.001	
Inadequate (<75	%)	48 (45.3)	20 (44.4)	28 (45.9)		27 (90.0)		1 (3.2)		
Appropriate (≥75	%)	58 (54.7)	25 (55.6)	33 (54.1)		3 (10.0)		30 (96.8)		

Data are scorings of knowing about the treatment, diagnosis and follow-up: medians (25th - 75th percentile) and n (%) *Knowledge about the treatment, diagnosis and follow-up

to nonadherence when in fact, it may be relevant for a specific subtype of nonadherent patients. Based on this assumption, this heterogeneity could be the underlying reason why the only two available studies that have explored the relationship between knowing about the treatment and nonadherence^{18,19} did not reveal such a relationship. Second and more importantly, it indicates the importance of knowledge of the treatment to achieve adherence in schizophrenia patients who may have the will to adhere to the treatment, but may lack the abilities for this. Although this reasoning may seem obvious, to date it has been a neglected area both in research and in clinical practice. We have previously pointed out the scarcity of studies in this specific area. In clinical practice, it is common to assume that once the treatment has been explained, the patient has assimilated such information; disregarding an adjustment to the patient's capacities, or checking to what extent this information has been correctly understood. Physicians tend to overestimate patients' understanding of their treatment,³⁸ and need to be cautious that their patients may have insufficient knowledge about the prescribed medications.³⁹ Finally, our results seem logical and plausible within the subtypes framework. Thus, whereas inadequate knowledge of the treatment seems relevant to achieve adherence in patients who want to follow the treatment plan, it may be less important in patients unwilling to take any medication.

This study has some advantages and disadvantages. As in any emerging area of research, operational definitions of knowledge of the treatment vary significantly in the literature, there is no uniform description for its precise constituents,18 and there is no validated scale to specifically assess this. The way to evaluate adherence was not the reference standard, i.e., electronic monitoring.36,40 However, this approach is an indirect measure of treatment adherence and also has some limitations.^{6,41} We have integrated objective and subjective approaches from various sources to evaluate adherence, as suggested.^{2,3,6,42} Moreover, we worked in accordance with the operational criteria for nonadherence recommended in the expert consensus.² One scale used to evaluate adherence has also detected adherence estimates approximate to those produced by electronic monitoring.²⁸ As for subtypes, there is no consensus in terms of the differentiation of these subtypes or standardized instruments to evaluate them, and a variety of reasons (i.e., simultaneity of two or more reasons, relating to both INA and UNA) were detected in 42.2% of patients; albeit this is compatible with the idea that there appears to be an intersection between both subdivisions.^{8,10,43,44} Finally, the type of sample may have a bearing on whether our findings can be generalized. Advantages include a strict methodologic approach to evaluate adherence. In comparison to the routine cross-sectional evaluation with a subjective approach, our study included objective and subjective assessment approaches, a six-month prospective period, and an operational understanding of adherence comprising not only pharmacologic treatment but also follow-up adherence.²⁴ Another advantage was a broad evaluation of variables including subjective points, variables related to treatment and others usually overlooked in the literature. Finally, all patients were evaluated by the same psychiatrist, which takes away the possibility of poor inter-observer reliability.

CONCLUSIONS

We detected that a considerable number of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder patients did not have an appropriate knowledge of their treatment, despite being in a process of hospitalization and follow-up after leaving hospital. Their profile identified might be useful to clinicians, since it may characterize a subgroup of patients in whom special efforts should be made, in terms of information about the treatment, adjustment to their cognitive deficits and checking the patients' understanding. Finally and more importantly, our results suggest that inadequate knowledge of the treatment may contribute to nonadherence in patients with unintentional nonadherence, whereas in those with intentional nonadherence, their knowledge of the treatment may be less relevant.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Ethics Committee. All patients were notified about the study's features and provided their consent in writing, and all the ethical procedures were performed.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Colegio de Médicos de Las Palmas [College of Physicians of Las Palmas], [grant number 103/19], in the context of competitive research grants. This institution had no involvement in the design or development of this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 157-66 | ISSN: 1578-2735 © 2023 Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría - Fundación López Ibor https://www.fundacionlopezibor.es/ Editado por © 2023 Amazing Books S.L. https://amazingbooks.es/

References

- 1. Lacro JP, Dunn LB, Dolder CR, Leckband SG, Jeste DV. Prevalence of and Risk Factors for Medication Nonadherence in Patients With Schizophrenia. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002;63(10):892–909.
- Velligan DI, Weiden PJ, Sajatovic M, Scott J, Carpenter D, Ross R, *et al.* The expert consensus guideline series: adherence problems in patients with serious and persistent mental illness. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009;70 Suppl 4:1–46; quiz 47–8.
- Sendt K-V, Tracy DK, Bhattacharyya S. A systematic review of factors influencing adherence to antipsychotic medication in schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. Psychiatry Res. 2015;225(1-2):14-30.
- Novick D, Haro JM, Suarez D, Perez V, Dittmann RW, Haddad PM. Predictors and clinical consequences of non-adherence with antipsychotic medication in the outpatient treatment of schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res. 2010;176(2-3):109-13.
- 5. García S, Martínez-Cengotitabengoa M, López-Zurbano S, Zorrilla I, López P, Vieta E, *et al.* Adherence to Antipsychotic Medication in Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenic Patients: A Systematic Review. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2016;36(4):355-71.
- Velligan DI, Sajatovic M, Hatch A, Kramata P, Docherty J. Why do psychiatric patients stop antipsychotic medication? A systematic review of reasons for nonadherence to medication in patients with serious mental illness. Patient Prefer. Adherence. 2017;11:449– 68.
- 7. Velligan DI, Lam YW, Glahn DC, Barrett JA, Maples NJ, Ereshefsky L, *et al.* Defining and assessing adherence to oral antipsychotics: a review of the literature. Schizophr Bull. 2005;32(4):724-42.
- Clifford S, Barber N, Horne R. Understanding different beliefs held by adherers, unintentional nonadherers, and intentional nonadherers: Application of the Necessity– Concerns Framework. J. Psychosom Res. 2008;64(1):41-6.
- Acosta FJ, Bosch E, Sarmiento G, Juanes N, Caballero-Hidalgo A, Mayans T. Evaluation of noncompliance in schizophrenia patients using electronic monitoring (MEMS[®]) and its relationship to sociodemographic, clinical and psychopathological variables. Schizophr Res. 2009;107(2-3):213-7.
- 10. Gibson S, Brand SL, Burt S, Boden ZVR, Benson O. Understanding treatment non-adherence in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a survey of what service users do and why. Understanding treatment non-adherence in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a survey of what service users do and why. BMC Psychiatry.2013;13:153.
- 11. Haddad P, Brain C, Scott J. Nonadherence with antipsychotic medication in schizophrenia: challenges

and management strategies. Patient Relat Outcome Meas. 2014;5:43-62.

- 12. Vega D, Acosta FJ, Saavedra P. Testing the hypothesis of subtypes of nonadherence in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder: A prospective study. World J Psychiatry. 2020;10(11):260-271.
- 13. Linden M, Chaskel R. Information and consent in schizophrenic patients in long-term treatment. Schizophr Bull. 1981;7(3):372-8.
- Macpherson R, Double DB, Rowlands RP, Harrison DM. Long-term psychiatric patients' understanding of neuroleptic medication. Hosp Community Psychiatry. 1993;44(1):71-3.
- Tempier R. Long-term psychiatric patients' knowledge about their medication. Psychiatr Serv. 1996;47(12):1385-7.
- Lau KC-K, Lee EH-M, Hui CL-M, Chang W-C, Chan SK-W, Chen EY-H. Demographic correlates of medication knowledge in Hong Kong early psychosis patients. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2018;12(1):107-112.
- 17. Huang J, Chiovenda A, Shao Y, Ma H, Li H, Good MD. Low level of knowledge regarding diagnosis and treatment among inpatients with schizophrenia in Shanghai. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:185–91.
- Lau KC-K, Lee EH-M, Hui CL-M, Chang W-C, Chan SK-W, Chen EY-H. Psychosis patients' knowledge, adherence and attitudes towards the naming of antipsychotic medication in Hong Kong. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2015;9(5):422-7.
- 19. Nagai N, Tani H, Suzuki T, Ikai S, Gerretsen P, Mimura M, *et al.* Patients' knowledge about prescribed antipsychotics and medication adherence in schizophrenia: a cross-sectional survey. Pharmacopsychiatry. 2017;50(6):264-269.
- San L, Bernardo M, Gómez A, Martínez P, González B, Peña M. Socio-demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics of relapsing schizophrenic patients. Nord J Psychiatry. 2013;67(1):22-9.
- 21. Misdrahi D, Tessier A, Husky M, Lange AC, Vrijens B, Llorca PM *et al.* Evaluation of adherence patterns in schizophrenia using electronic monitoring (MEMS®): A six-month post-discharge prospective study. Schizophr Res. 2018;193:114–8.
- 22. Vega D, Acosta FJ, Saavedra P. Nonadherence after hospital discharge in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder: A six-month naturalistic followup study. Compr. Psychiatry. 2021;108:152240.
- 23. Wickström G, Bendix T. The "Hawthorne effect"—what did the original Hawthorne studies actually show? Scand J Work Environ Health. 2000;26(4):363-7.
- 24. Nosé M, Barbui C, Tansella M. How often do patients with psychosis fail to adhere to treatment programmes? A systematic review. Psychol Med. 2003;33(7):1149-60.

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 157-66 | ISSN: 1578-2735

- 25. Hess LM, Raebel MA, Conner DA, Malone DC. Measurement of adherence in pharmacy administrative databases: a proposal for standard definitions and preferred measures. Ann Pharmacother. 2006;40(7-8):1280-88.
- 26. Valenstein M, Copeland LA, Blow FC, McCarthy JF, Zeber JE, Gillon L *et al.* Pharmacy data identify poorly adherent patients with schizophrenia at increased risk for admission. Med Care. 2002;40(8):630–9.
- 27. Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM. Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence. Med Care. 1986;24(1):67-74.
- Byerly MJ, Nakonezny PA, Rush AJ. The Brief Adherence Rating Scale (BARS) validated against electronic monitoring in assessing the antipsychotic medication adherence of outpatients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. Schizophr Res. 2008;100(1-3):60-9.
- 29. Horne R, Weinman J, Barber N, Elliott R, Morgan M, Cribb A, *et al.* Concordance, adherence and compliance in medicine taking. London: NCCSDO; 2005.
- 30. Pound P, Britten N, Morgan M, Yardley L, Pope C, Daker-White G, *et al.* Resisting medicines: a synthesis of qualitative studies of medicine taking. Soc Sci Med. 2005;61(1):133-55.
- 31. Core Team R [computer program]. The R Project for Statistical Computing. Austria; 2019.
- 32. Sharma T, Antonova L. Cognitive function in schizophrenia. Deficits, functional consequences, and future treatment. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2003;26(1):25-40.
- Maeda K, Kasai K, Watanabe A, Henomatsu K, Rogers MA, Kato N. Effect of subjective reasoning and neurocognition on medication adherence for persons with schizophrenia. Psychiatr Serv. 2006;57(8):1203-5.
- 34. Raskin SA, Maye J, Rogers A, Correll D, Zamroziewicz M, Kurtz M. Prospective memory in schizophrenia: relationship to medication management skills, neurocognition, and symptoms in individuals with schizophrenia. Neuropsychology. 2014;28(3):359-65.
- 35. Makaryus AN, Friedman EA. Patients' understanding of their treatment plans and diagnosis at discharge. Mayo Clin Proc. 2005;80(8):991-4.
- 36. Yaegashi H, Kirino S, Remington G, Misawa F, Takeuchi H. Adherence to Oral Antipsychotics Measured by Electronic Adherence Monitoring in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. CNS Drugs. 2020:34(6):579-598.
- 37. Liu L, Jia L, Jian P, Zhou Y, Zhou J, Wu F, et al. The Effects of Benzodiazepine Use and Abuse on Cognition in the Elders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Comparative Studies. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:00755.
- 38. Calkins DR, Davis RB, Reiley P, Phillips RS, Pineo KL, Delbanco TL, *et al.* Patient-physician communication

at hospital discharge and patients' understanding of the postdischarge treatment plan. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157(9):1026-30.

- Nagai N, Tani H, Yoshida K, Gerretsen P, Suzuki T, Ikai-Tani S, et al. Drug Attitude, Insight, and Patient's Knowledge About Prescribed Antipsychotics in Schizophrenia: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2020;16:781–7.
- 40. Farmer KC. Methods for measuring and monitoring medication regimen adherence in clinical trials and clinical practice. Clin Ther. 1999;21(6):1074–90.
- 41. Acosta FJ, Hernández JL, Pereira J, Herrera J, Rodríguez CJ. Medication adherence in schizophrenia. World J Psychiatry. 2012:2(5):74–82.
- 42. Sajatovic M, Velligan DI, Weiden PJ, Valenstein MA, Ogedegbe G. Measurement of psychiatric treatment adherence. J Psychosom Res. 2010;69(6):591–9.
- 43. Hui CL, Chen EY, Kan CS, Yip KC, Law CW, Chiu CP. Detection of non-adherent behaviour in early psychosis. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2006;40(5):446-51.
- 44. Gadkari AS, McHorney CA. Unintentional non-adherence to chronic prescription medications: how unintentional is it really? BMC Health Serv Res. 2012;12:98.